	Case3:10-md-02143-RS Document101	2 Filed10/02/13 Page1 of 4 3 Filed10/02/13 Page1 of 4
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
10	AD HEED OF A TELO	A DICEDICE COLUDE
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13		
14	IN RE OPTICAL DISK DRIVE PRODUCTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION	CASE NO. 3:10-md-2143 RS
15		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING PAGE LIMITS FOR
16	This document relates to:	DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITIONS TO CLASS CERTIFICATION AND PLAINTIFFS' REPLIES IN SUPPORT OF
17	ALL ACTIONS	CLASS CERTIFICATION AS MODIFIED BY THE COURT
18	ALL ACTIONS	BI THE COOK!
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case3:10-md-02143-RS Document1013 Filed10/02/13 Page2 of 4 Case3:10-md-02143-RS Document1012 Filed10/02/13 Page2 of 4 WHEREAS, by agreement of the parties, on May 29, 2013, the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Class Certification of 40 pages (Dkt No. 878) and Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Class Certification of 37 pages (Dkt. No. 882), supported by separate expert declarations and/or reports, and supporting declarations with accompanying evidentiary exhibits;¹ WHEREAS, the separately named Defendants plan to join consolidated opposition briefs to the Plaintiffs' Motions for Class Certification; WHEREAS, Defendants have requested that the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs and Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs agree that Defendants may file consolidated briefs of up to 50 pages in opposition to each Motion for Class Certification, and Plaintiffs are agreeable to that request; WHEREAS, Direct and Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs have requested that Defendants agree that DPPs and IPPs may each file a reply brief of up to 35 pages in support of their respective Motion for Class Certification, and Defendants are agreeable to that request; WHEREAS, it is the parties' joint view that the extension of page limitations requested here will allow all parties the best opportunity to fully develop and clearly present their respective positions regarding class certification, which they hope will ultimately assist the Court in this matter; NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the undersigned counsel for the parties, subject to Court approval, that: In connection with Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' and Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' 1. separate Motions for Class Certification, the page limits set forth in Civil Local Rule 7-3 shall be extended as follows: (a) Defendants may file one opposition brief of up to 50 pages in response to each Motion for Class Certification, for a total of two opposition briefs; and (b) Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs and Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs may each file one reply brief of up to 35 pages.

1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

28

Case3:10-md-02143-RS Document1013 Filed10/02/13 Page3 of 4 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 1 2 DATED: October 2, 2013 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 3 /s/ Belinda S Lee 4 BELINDA S LEE 5 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, ČA 94111 Telephone: (415) 395-8240 6 Facsimile: (415) 395-8095 7 belinda.lee@lw.com 8 Counsel for Defendants TOSHIBA SAMSUNG STORAĞE TECHNOLOGY KOREA 9 CORPORATION, TOSHIBA SAMSUNG STORAGE TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, TOSHIBA 10 AMERICA INFORMATION SYSTEMS, AND TOSHIBA CORPORATION 11 Liaison Counsel for Defendants TEAC 12 CORPORATION, TEAC AMERICA INC., KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V., 13 LITE-ON IT CORPORATION, PHILIPS & LITE-ON DIGITAL SOLUTIONS CORP., PHILIPS & 14 LITE-ON DIGITAL SOLUTIONS USA, INC., SONY CORPORATION, SONY OPTIARC AMERICA, 15 INC., SONY OPTIARC, INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., BENQ 16 CORPORATION, BENQ AMERICA CORP., NEC CORPORATION, PANASONIC CORPORATION 17 PANASONIC CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA, QUANTA STORAGE INC., QUANTA 18 STORAGE AMERICA INC., HITACHI-LG DATA STORAGE, INC., HITACHI-LG DATA STORAGE 19 KOREA, INC., HITACHI LTD., LG ELECTRONICS, INC. 20 21 DATED: October 2, 2013 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 22 /s/ Shana E. Scarlett 23 SHANA E. SCARLETT 24 Jeff D. Friedman (173886) 715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202 25 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 725-3000 26 Facsimile: (510) 725-3001 jefff@hbsslaw.com 27 shanas@hbsslaw.com 28 2

	Case3:10-md-02143-RS Document1012 Filed10/02/13 Page4 of 4
1	Steve W. Berman (Pro Hac Vice)
2	George W. Sampson (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
3	1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-7292
4	Facsimile: (206) 623-0594 steve@hbsslaw.com
5	george@hbsslaw.com
6	Lee Gordon SBN (174168) HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
7	301 North Lake Ave., Suite 203 Pasadena, CA 91101
8	Telephone: (213) 330-7150 Facsimile: (213) 330-7152 lee@hbsslaw.com
10	Interim Lead Counsel for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs
11	T tumiyys
12	DATED: October 2, 2013 SAVERI & SAVERI. INC.
13	By <u>/s/ Guido Saveri</u> GUIDO SAVERI
14	GUIDO SAVERI
15	R. Alexander Saveri (173102 Cadio Zirpoli (179108)
16	706 Sansome Street
17	San Francisco, CA 94111
1 /	Telephone: (415) 217-6810
18	Facsimile: (415) 217-6813 guido@saveri.com
19	rick@saveri.com
20	cadio@saveri.com
21	Interim Lead Counsel for Direct Purchaser Class
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.
23	21181
24	DATED: 10/2/13 HONORABLE RICHARD SEBORG
25	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
26	
27	
28	
ZINSus	3